

BOARD ACTION

Agenda Number:

Meeting Date: August 9, 2022

TITLE	REPORT ON WATE	R QUALITY RELATIVE TO CALIFORNI	A PUBLIC HEALTH GOALS 2019-2021
ACTION	Motion:	Resolution:	Ordinance:
RECOMMENDED ACTION	Conduct a pulAccept the Pu	blic hearing to provide an opportunity blic Health Goals (PHGs) Report.	/ for public comment.
SUMMARY	The California Hea connections prepa exceeded any PHG completed in June Environmental He water standards – regulation. For the no PHG exists, wat adopted by the Ur	Ith and Safety Code specifies that wa ire a special report every three years is. The current report covers calendar 2022. PHGs are non-enforceable goa alth Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). On designated with a maximum contam ese constituents, District-measured le ter suppliers must compare to the ma nited States Environmental Protection	ter systems serving more than 10,000 service if their water quality measurements have r years 2019, 2020, and 2021 and was als established by the state Office of ily constituents with California primary drinking inant level (MCL) – are included in this evels are compared to OEHHA-adopted PHGs. If eximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs) of Agency (USEPA).
DISCUSSION	The District's drink public health. Som drinking water sta current list of regu Trihalomethanes (dichlorobromome included in this re 2021 at a level exc law. Water supplie and the PHG or M the best treatmen estimate of the co PHGs are set by O risk management water maximum c analytical detectio	ting water quality meets all state and e constituents are routinely detected ndards for which no PHG nor MCLG h lated constituents with MCLs and PH THMs). Although there are PHGs for i thane, there is no PHG for the sum of port. If a constituent was detected in seeding an applicable PHG or MCLG, t ers are required to include the numer CLG, the category or type of risk to he t technology available that could be u st to install that treatment if it is appr EHHA and are based solely on public I factors that are considered by the US ontaminant levels (MCLs) are conside on capability, treatment technology available	federal drinking water standards set to protect d in the District's water at levels well below the has yet been adopted by OEHHA or USEPA. The IGs (or MCLGs) is attached. One example is total individual THM species such as chloroform and f the four THMs; therefore, they are not the District's water supply between 2019 and his report provides the information required by ical public health risk associated with the MCL ealth that is associated with each constituent, used to reduce the constituent level, and an ropriate and feasible. health risk considerations. None of the practical EPA or the State in setting enforceable drinking ered when setting PHGs. These factors include vailable, benefits, and costs. The PHGs are not

Originating Department: Operations and Maintenance	Department Director or Manager: David A. Briggs	CEP Forms? N/A	Board Action Type: Public Hearing
Funds Available: N/A	Budget Coding: A		Approved:
Attachment(s): Table of Regulated Constituents			

Title: REPORT ON WATER QUALITY RELATIVE TO CALIFORNIA PUBLIC HEALTH GOALS, 2019-2021 Meeting Date: August 9, 2022

enforceable and are not required to be met by any public water system. MCLGs are the federal equivalent to state PHGs.

Water Quality Data Considered and Guidance Used

Water quality data collected by the District between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2021 for purposes of determining compliance with drinking water standards was used in preparation of this report. This data was summarized in the 2019, 2020, and 2021 Consumer Confidence Reports; this PHG report is a separate requirement. The Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA) prepared guidelines for water utilities to ensure uniformity and consistent interpretation of the regulatory language. As in all previous reporting periods, the ACWA guidelines were used to prepare this report.

Constituents Detected Exceeding a PHG or a MCLG

One constituent, bromate, was detected in the water supplied by the Sobrante WTP and the Upper San Leandro (USL) Water Treatment Plants (WTPs) at levels above the PHG. Bromate is formed when naturally occurring bromide in the source water reacts with ozone. Ozone is used at the District's Sobrante and USL WTPs primarily to control taste- and odor-causing compounds. Algal blooms in the source water reservoirs can create significant tastes and odor, discoloration, and occasionally cyanotoxins. Ozone effectively oxidizes and destroys these unwanted compounds.

The PHG for bromate is 0.1 parts per billion (ppb), and the MCL is 10 ppb. During this reporting period, the District switched analytical methods for bromate to better quantify very low levels. The previous method, EPA Method 300.1, had a detection limit for the purposes of reporting (DLR) of 5 ppb. The current method, EPA Method 317.0, has a DLR of 1 ppb. The levels detected are shown below in Table 1, each is below the MCL.

	20:	19	202	20	2021		
Date	Sobrante WTP	USL WTP	Sobrante WTP	USL WTP	Sobrante WTP	USL WTP	
Quarter 1	<1	<1.3*	2.3	<1	3.5	3.5	
Quarter 2	<1	<1.3*	4.0	<1	2.6	2.3	
Quarter 3	<1	<1.3*	4.8	<1	2.2	2.3	
Quarter 4	1.7	<1	4.7	<1	1.7	1.4	

Table 1. Running Annual Average Bromate Results, Calculated Quarterly, Parts per Billion (ppb)

*These results include samples analyzed by EPA Method 300.1 which has a higher detection limit than Method 317.0 (the current District standard).

The category of health risk associated with bromate (assuming chronic exposure) and the numerical risk level at both the PHG and the MCL are shown in Table 2.

Title: REPORT ON WATER QUALITY RELATIVE TO CALIFORNIA PUBLIC HEALTH GOALS, 2019-2021 Meeting Date: August 9, 2022

Table 2. Health Risk Categories and Cancer Risk Values for Chemicals with Public Health Goals (PHGs) adapted from OEHHA, 2022

Chemical	Health Risk Category	California PHG (ppb)	Cancer Risk at the PHG	California MCL (ppb)	Cancer Risk at the California MCL
Bromate	Carcinogenicity (causes cancer)	0.1	1 x 10 ⁻⁶ (one per million)	10	1 x 10 ⁻⁴ (one per ten thousand)

Best Available Treatment Technology and Cost Estimates

Both USEPA and DDW adopt Best Available Technologies (BATs) which are demonstrated methods of reducing contaminant levels to the MCL. However, since many PHGs and all MCLGs are much lower than the MCLs, it is not always feasible to determine what treatment would be needed (and at what cost) to further reduce a constituent to or even near the PHG or MCLG. Estimating the costs to reduce bromate to the PHG of 0.1 ppb is not possible because we cannot analytically detect bromate at this level. The lowest level of bromate that can be detected by the District's lab is 1 ppb.

DDW and USEPA consider "control of ozone treatment process to reduce production of bromate" as the BAT for bromate control. The District has studied different methods of bromate control and has incorporated the most promising technologies into upcoming capital projects at the WTPs. For the Sobrante and USL Reliability projects, chloramination ahead of ozonation will be included to enable operators to better limit the formation of bromate during the ozonation process.

Recommendations for Further Action

Of the dozens of compounds analyzed, only bromate was detected above its PHG. The ability of the treatment processes to provide additional significant reduction in bromate levels is uncertain due to analytical limitations. Operators will continue to optimize ozone dosage to lower bromate levels in treated water to the extent possible. No further action is recommended.

SUSTAINABILITY Social

The purpose of the Triennial PHG report is to provide consumers with information on regulated contaminants detected in the water above the PHGs but below the enforceable MCLs. This report is available on the District website and will replace the prior report for calendar years 2016, 2017, and 2018.

ALTERNATIVE

Do not prepare the report and hold a public hearing. This alternative is not recommended because the District would not remain in compliance with environmental laws and regulations.

I:\Sec\2022 Board Related Items\080922 Board Agenda Items\0MD\Report on Water Quality Relative to California Public Health Goals, 2019-2021.docx

TABLE OF REGULATED CONSTITUENTS

MCLs, DLRs, PHGs, for Regulated Drinking Water Contaminants

(Units are in milligrams per liter (mg/L), unless otherwise noted.)

Last Update: September 14, 2021

The following tables includes California's maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), detection limits for purposes of reporting (DLRs), public health goals (PHGs) from the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). For comparison, Federal MCLs and Maximum Contaminant Level Goals (MCLGs) (USEPA) are also displayed.

Inorganic Chemicals Table, Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CCR §64431

State Regulated Inorganic Chemical Contaminant	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Aluminum	1	0.05	0.6	2001		
Antimony	0.006	0.006	0.001	2016	0.006	0.006
Arsenic	0.010	0.002	0.000004	2004	0.010	zero
Asbestos (MFL = million fibers per liter; for fibers >10 microns long)	7 MFL	0.2 MFL	7 MFL	2003	7 MFL	7 MFL
Barium	1	0.1	2	2003	2	2
Beryllium	0.004	0.001	0.001	2003	0.004	0.004
Cadmium	0.005	0.001	0.00004	2006	0.005	0.005
Chromium, Total - OEHHA withdrew the 0.0025-mg/L PHG	0.05	0.01	withdrawn Nov. 2001	1999	0.1	0.1

State Regulated Inorganic Chemical Contaminant	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Chromium, Hexavalent - 0.01- mg/L MCL & 0.001- mg/L DLR repealed September 2017			0.00002	2011		
Cyanide	0.15	0.1	0.15	1997	0.2	0.2
Fluoride	2	0.1	1	1997	4.0	4.0
Mercury (inorganic)	0.002	0.001	0.0012	1999 (rev2005)*	0.002	0.002
Nickel	0.1	0.01	0.012	2001		
Nitrate (as nitrogen, N)	10 as N	0.4	45 as NO3 (=10 as N)	2018	10	10
Nitrite (as N)	1 as N	0.4	1 as N	2018	1	1
Nitrate + Nitrite (as N)	10 as N		10 as N	2018		
Perchlorate	0.006	0.002	0.001	2015		
Selenium	0.05	0.005	0.03	2010	0.05	0.05
Thallium	0.002	0.001	0.0001	1999 (rev2004)	0.002	0.0005

Copper and Lead Table, 22 CCR §64672.3

Values referred to as MCLs for lead and copper are not actually MCLs; instead, they are called "Action Levels" under the lead and copper rule.

State Regulated Copper and Lead Contaminant	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Copper	1.3	0.05	0.3	2008	1.3	1.3
Lead	0.015	0.005	0.0002	2009	0.015	zero

Radiological Table, Radionuclides with MCLs in 22 CCR §64441 and §64443

[units are picocuries per liter (pCi/L), unless otherwise state; n/a = not applicable]

State Regulated Radionuclides Contaminant	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Gross alpha particle activity - OEHHA concluded in 2003 that a PHG was not practical	15	3	none	n/a	15	zero
Gross beta particle activity - OEHHA concluded in 2003 that a PHG was not practical	4 mrem/yr	4	none	n/a	4 mrem/yr	zero
Radium-226		1	0.05	2006		
Radium-228		1	0.019	2006		
Radium-226 + Radium-	5				5	zero

State Regulated Radionuclides Contaminant	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
228						
Strontium-90	8	2	0.35	2006		
Tritium	"20,000"	"1,000"	400	2006		
Uranium	20	1	0.43	2001	30 µg/L	zero

Organic Chemicals Table, Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CCR §64444

Volatile Organic Chemicals (VOCs)

State Regulated Volatile Organic Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Benzene	0.001	0.0005	0.00015	2001	0.005	zero
Carbon tetrachloride	0.0005	0.0005	0.0001	2000	0.005	zero
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.6	0.0005	0.6	1997 (rev2009)	0.6	0.6
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p- DCB)	0.005	0.0005	0.006	1997	0.075	0.075
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	0.005	0.0005	0.003	2003		
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	0.0005	0.0005	0.0004	1999 (rev2005)	0.005	zero

State Regulated Volatile Organic Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE)	0.006	0.0005	0.01	1999	0.007	0.007
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.006	0.0005	0.013	2018	0.07	0.07
trans-1,2- Dichloroethylene	0.01	0.0005	0.05	2018	0.1	0.1
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)	0.005	0.0005	0.004	2000	0.005	zero
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.005	0.0005	0.0005	1999	0.005	zero
1,3-Dichloropropene	0.0005	0.0005	0.0002	1999 (rev2006)		
Ethylbenzene	0.3	0.0005	0.3	1997	0.7	0.7
Methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE)	0.013	0.003	0.013	1999		
Monochlorobenzene	0.07	0.0005	0.07	2014	0.1	0.1
Styrene	0.1	0.0005	0.0005	2010	0.1	0.1
1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane	0.001	0.0005	0.0001	2003	0.1	0.1
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	0.005	0.0005	0.00006	2001	0.005	zero

State Regulated Volatile Organic Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Toluene	0.15	0.0005	0.15	1999	1	1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.005	0.0005	0.005	1999	0.07	0.07
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA)	0.200	0.0005	1	2006	0.2	0.2
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	0.005	0.0005	0.0003	2006	0.005	0.003
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	0.005	0.0005	0.0017	2009	0.005	zero
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	0.15	0.005	1.3	2014		
"1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2- Trifluoroethane (Freon 113)"	1.2	0.01	4	1997 (rev2011)		
Vinyl chloride	0.0005	0.0005	0.00005	2000	0.002	zero
Xylenes	1.750	0.0005	1.8	1997	10	10

Non-Volatile Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOCs)

State Regulated Non-Volatile Synthetic Organic Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Alachlor	0.002	0.001	0.004	1997	0.002	zero

State Regulated Non-Volatile Synthetic Organic Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Atrazine	0.001	0.0005	0.00015	1999	0.003	0.003
Bentazon	0.018	0.002	0.2	1999 (rev2009)		
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0002	0.0001	0.000007	2010	0.0002	zero
Carbofuran	0.018	0.005	0.0007	2016	0.04	0.04
Chlordane	0.0001	0.0001	0.00003	1997 (rev2006)	0.002	zero
Dalapon	0.2	0.01	0.79	1997 (rev2009)	0.2	0.2
1,2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane (DBCP)	0.0002	0.00001	0.000003	2020	0.0002	zero
2,4- Dichlorophenoxyaceti c acid (2,4-D)	0.07	0.01	0.02	2009	0.07	0.07
Di(2- ethylhexyl)adipate	0.4	0.005	0.2	2003	0.4	0.4
Di(2- ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)	0.004	0.003	0.012	1997	0.006	zero
Dinoseb	0.007	0.002	0.014	1997	0.007	0.007

State Regulated Non-Volatile Synthetic Organic Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
				(rev2010)		
Diquat	0.02	0.004	0.006	2016	0.02	0.02
Endothal	0.1	0.045	0.094	2014	0.1	0.1
Endrin	0.002	0.0001	0.0003	2016	0.002	0.002
Ethylene dibromide (EDB)	0.00005	0.00002	0.00001	2003	0.0000 5	zero
Glyphosate	0.7	0.025	0.9	2007	0.7	0.7
Heptachlor	0.00001	0.00001	0.000008	1999	0.0004	zero
Heptachlor epoxide	0.00001	0.00001	0.000006	1999	0.0002	zero
Hexachlorobenzene	0.001	0.0005	0.00003	2003	0.001	zero
Hexachlorocyclopent adiene	0.05	0.001	0.002	2014	0.05	0.05
Lindane	0.0002	0.0002	0.000032	1999 (rev2005)	0.0002	0.0002
Methoxychlor	0.03	0.01	0.00009	2010	0.04	0.04
Molinate	0.02	0.002	0.001	2008		
Oxamyl	0.05	0.02	0.026	2009	0.2	0.2

State Regulated Non-Volatile Synthetic Organic Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Pentachlorophenol	0.001	0.0002	0.0003	2009	0.001	zero
Picloram	0.5	0.001	0.166	2016	0.5	0.5
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	0.0005	0.0005	0.00009	2007	0.0005	zero
Simazine	0.004	0.001	0.004	2001	0.004	0.004
Thiobencarb	0.07	0.001	0.042	2016		
Toxaphene	0.003	0.001	0.00003	2003	0.003	zero
1,2,3- Trichloropropane	0.00000 5	0.00000 5	0.0000007	2009		
2,3,7,8-TCDD (dioxin)	3x10-8	5x10-9	5x10-11	2010	3x10-8	zero
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	0.05	0.001	0.003	2014	0.05	0.05

Disinfection Byproducts Table, Chemicals with MCLs in 22 CCR §64533

State Regulated Disinfection Byproducts Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Total Trihalomethanes	0.080				0.080	

State Regulated Disinfection Byproducts Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
Bromodichloromethane		0.0010	0.00006	2020		zero
Bromoform		0.0010	0.0005	2020		zero
Chloroform		0.0010	0.0004	2020		0.07
Dibromochloromethane		0.0010	0.0001	2020		0.06
Haloacetic Acids (five) (HAA5)	0.060				0.060	
Monochloroacetic Acid		0.0020				0.07
Dichloroacetic Adic		0.0010				zero
Trichloroacetic Acid		0.0010				0.02
Monobromoacetic Acid		0.0010				
Dibromoacetic Acid		0.0010				
Bromate	0.010	0.0050**	0.0001	2009	0.01	zero
Chlorite	1.0	0.020	0.05	2009	1	0.8

Chemicals with PHGs established in response to DDW requests. These are not currently regulated drinking water contaminants.

State Regulated Disinfection Byproducts Contaminants	State MCL	State DLR	State PHG	State Date of PHG	Federal MCL	Federal MCLG
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA)			0.000003	2006		

*OEHHA's review of this chemical during the year indicated (rev20XX) resulted in no change in the PHG.

**The DLR for Bromate is 0.0010 mg/L for analysis performed using EPA Method 317.0 Revision 2.0, 321.8, or 326.0.